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# ABOUT DTIC AND CSIAC

The Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) collects, disseminates, and analyzes scientific and technical information to rapidly and reliably deliver knowledge that propels development of the next generation of Warfighter technologies. DTIC amplifies the U.S. Department of Defense’s (DoD’s) multibillion dollar annual investment in science and technology by collecting information and enhancing the digital search, analysis, and collaboration tools that make information widely available to decision makers, researchers, engineers, and scientists across the Department.

DTIC sponsors the DoD Information Analysis Center’s (IAC’s) program, which provides critical, flexible, and cutting-edge research and analysis to produce relevant and reusable scientific and technical information for acquisition program managers, DoD laboratories, Program Executive Offices, and Combatant Commands. The IACs are staffed by, or have access to, hundreds of scientists, engineers, and information specialists who provide research and analysis to customers with diverse, complex, and challenging requirements.

The Cybersecurity & Information Systems Information Analysis Center (CSIAC) is a DoD IAC sponsored by DTIC to provide expertise in four technical focus areas: cybersecurity; knowledge management & information sharing; modeling & simulation; and software data & analysis. CSIAC is operated by SURVICE Engineering Company under contract FA8075-21-D-0001.

A chief service of the DoD IACs is free technical inquiry (TI) research, limited to 4 research hours per inquiry. This TI response report summarizes the research findings of one such inquiry jointly conducted by CSIAC.

# ABSTRACT

The Cybersecurity & Information Systems Information Analysis Center (CSIAC) was asked to identify which cybersecurity risk assessment framework is best suited for the financial industry and provide examples of such frameworks. CSIAC identified the National Institute of Standards and Technology Cybersecurity Framework as the most applicable and gave examples of other industry-specific implementation guidance. A presentation specific to the financial services sector was also provided to the inquirer.
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# 1.0 TI Request

## 1.1 Inquiry

What cyber risk assessment framework best fits the banking sector? How can I find examples?

## 1.2 Description

The Cybersecurity & Information Systems Information Analysis Center (CSIAC) was asked to identify which cybersecurity risk assessment framework is best suited for the financial industry and provide examples of such frameworks. CSIAC identified the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Framework as the most applicable and provided examples of other industry-specific implementation guidance. A presentation specific to the financial services sector was also provided to the inquirer.

# 2.0 TI Response

From a top-level perspective, the overarching cybersecurity compliance framework for the nation's critical infrastructure (which includes financial services) [1] is NIST’s “Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity” [2], also referred to as the NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF). This publication was released in response to Executive Order (EO) 13636/Presidential Policy Directive (PPD) 21, “Critical Infrastructure Security and Resilience,” released in February 2013 [3].

This voluntary cybersecurity risk management strategy consists of three main components:

1. **Framework Core**: a collection of cybersecurity risk management practices and a related hierarchy of functions, categories (e.g., activities and desired outcomes), subcategories, and informative references (e.g., standards defining related security control implementations).
2. **Framework Implementation Tiers**: a scoring system to determine where an organization’s cybersecurity policies and practices satisfy components of the NIST CSF. While advancing from lower tiers to higher tiers is recommended, the tiers are not considered to reflect cybersecurity maturity.
3. **Framework Profile**: a profile is determined from an estimation as to where the components of the framework core (i.e., cybersecurity outcomes) rank among the organization’s priorities. Profiles can be used to compare an organization’s “as is” to the desired “to be” states and facilitate the identification of the necessary improvements to improve that organization’s risk posture.

This standardization is an important step towards implementing a unified framework instead of industry-specific (or ad-hoc) solutions that fail to provide a comprehensive strategy. However, it also presents the challenge of having to address such a wide range of critical infrastructure sectors. As such, the guidance is typically written at a higher-level so the individual sectors can develop and publish industry-specific implementation guidance consistent with the higher-level NIST CSF. For example, the Department of Energy has published documentation for the energy sector, including “Energy Sector Cybersecurity Framework Implementation Guidance” [4] and the “Cybersecurity Capability Maturity Model (C2M2)” [5]. CSIAC is not currently aware of a similar implementation guide for the banking/finance sector but admittedly is funded to support the defense community.

Information security has been, and continues to remain, a critical requirement for the banking sector given the obvious motivation for attacks and the severity of the potential consequences. As such, a variety of industry-specific frameworks has been developed for this community. Furthermore, the many different components of the financial services sector and the related regulatory bodies have further convoluted the cybersecurity requirements and compliance reporting. Research suggests that similar standardization efforts are underway to address this issue, which are likely best described by the accompanying NIST presentation “Financial Services Sector Specific Cybersecurity “Profile,” an NIST cybersecurity workshop in coordination with the Financial Services Sector Coordinating Council [6].
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